

Why Risk so much for **so little** -no gain at all?

Here you will find accessible studies and articles for assertions below.

Not all children emerge from corporal punishment unharmed. The risk can't be justified.

Emotional: Depression, low self-esteem, anger, lack of resilience and self-control
Learning: All these interfere with learning: lower IQ, stress, anger, resent/fear of authority.

www.nospank.net/mteicher.htm

<http://pubpages.unh.edu/%7Eemas2/Cp98D%20CP%20%20IQ%20world-wide.pdf>

DIFFERENCES IN CORPORAL PUNISHMENT BY PARENTS IN 32 NATIONS AND ITS RELATION TO NATIONAL DIFFERENCES IN IQ*

Physical: Injuries from being paddled with a board, injuries from more extreme measures parents graduate to after trying spanking (burns, lacerations, broken bones, head injuries, and brain damage.)

See video for California Governor's office called "First Impressions" in our "Video" section.

Sexual: Eroticizing of spanking, inability to have orgasms without spanking and reimagining early abuse. Forms of illegal actions like exhibitionism to gain "sexual high" in punishment.

<http://www.nospank.net/s-bw.htm> Letter from self confessed fetishist and pedophile, who is addicted to spanking and is in treatment. Very revealing.

Societal: Poorly educated, angry, pent up young people causing harm to themselves, other children, adults, even their parents.

Video reference above is easiest way to access this material.

Spanking linked to later aggression among kids

<http://www.today.com/moms/spanking-linked-later-agression-among-kids-8C11431781>

We all want to end child abuse.

We label the most heinous things done to children child abuse and they are illegal. But most of these behaviors start with spanking and paddling.

Additional evidence that corporal punishment and physical abuse share much of the same etiology comes from studies of substantiated cases of physical abuse, which have found that between two-thirds and 85% of the cases, the abusive incident began as ordinary CP, then escalated.

This is from Murray Straus' article in the Duke Law: Law and Contemporary Problems edition <http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/lcp/vol73/iss2/>

Select Murray's article. The reference is on page 22 at the top of the page.

Stopping the hitting of children will stop the enabling of child abuse that spanking provides.

Spanking and paddling send a confusing message about how children can be treated, how they learn, and how respected they should be and therefore becomes a window to child abuse.

Therefore to end child abuse, we must stop all hitting of Children.

Our schools need money for real education and can't afford paddling.

Lawsuits from enraged parents take valuable money and energy from education.

No evidence that schools using the most paddling turn out better students. The opposite seems to be true.

Harassment of schools that use paddling is growing among the population.

Many useful and tested alternatives now exist based on teaching self responsibility.

Our States are being robbed of needed money by paying for the high cost of child abuse and all its ramifications.

States spent \$20 Billion on child Welfare Services in 2000. Reduction of violence against children will reduce this figure enormously according to the Urban Institute.

2 trillion was spent by US in 2011 on all health care costs. 1 trillion for violence related health care costs.

See article in Resources tab under Mady Gomez called [Economic Impact of Violence Toward Children](#).

Therefore to end child abuse, we must stop all hitting of Children.

For research and links to studies about any of these points please go to the US Alliance to End the Hitting of Children's website www.endhittingusa.org